		((
PATENT COOPERATION TREATY									
TOTAL THE STATE OF									
To: KAREN BLOCHLINGER CIRISENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS PLLC 1420 5TH AVENUE, SUITE 2800				PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE					
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347				INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
				(PCT Rule 43bis. 1) Date of mailing 0.5. 4.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.					
				(day/month/year) VD APR 2004					
Applicant's or agent's file reference				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below					
UWOTL1	23601			See paragraph 2 below					
International application No.			International filing date	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
			or both national classificat						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC									
IPC(7): A61F 2/02 and US Cl.: 424/ 423, 425, 426 Applicant									
UNIVERS	SITY OF WASHI	NGTON							
This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:									
\boxtimes	Box No. I Basis of the opinion								
	Box No. II Priority								
	Box No. III	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention								
\bowtie	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
Box No. VI Certain documents cited									
Ц	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application								
Ш	Box No. VIII	Certain obse	ervations on the internation	nal application					
	THER ACTIO								
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ('IPBA') except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to the IPBA and the chosen IPBA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.									
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.									
	nocketed								
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					DUURLILD				
lame and mailing address of the ISA/ US				Authorized officer	marie Milat				
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450				Carlos A. Azpur	" I would how				
A	dexandria, Virginia			Telephone No. 70	3-308-1235				
acsimile No. (703) 305-3230									

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT/US04/32639

DOX IN	5. 1 basis of this opinion						
	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
a.	type of material						
	a sequence listing						
	table(s) related to the sequence listing						
b.	format of material						
	in written format						
	in computer readable form						
c.	time of filing/furnishing						
	contained in international application as filed.						
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
з. 🗌	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filled or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4. Additi	onal comments:						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/32639

> _YES NO

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1. Statement							
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-25	YES				
	Claims	NONE	No				
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-25	YES				
	Claims	NONE	NO				

Claims 1-25

Claims NONE

2. Citations and explanations:

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims 1-25 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the instant biomaterial polymer scaffold defining an array of pores, wherein the pores have substantially the same diameter, and further, wherein each pore is connected to at least four other pores.

Claims 1-22 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry as a biomaterial scaffold for promoting cell growth.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)